

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

GEORGE GROUNDS	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:15cv442
ANDERSON COUNTY SHERIFF	§	

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff George Grounds, a former inmate of the Anderson County Jail proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges. The sole named Defendant is the Anderson County Sheriff.

The complaint in the lawsuit was drafted by Grounds' wife and refers to "my husband" having a motorcycle accident and not receiving medical care in the jail, but the date this happened is not set out. A document attached to the complaint, apparently drafted by Grounds himself, states that he is in fear for his life in Anderson County, but does not offer any other facts.

The Defendant was served with process and filed a motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6). Grounds did not file a response to this motion. After review of the pleadings, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the motion to dismiss be granted and that the lawsuit be dismissed. Grounds received a copy of this Report on August 4, 2016, but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the

district court. *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law"). It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 18) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Defendant's motion to dismiss (docket no. 14) is **GRANTED** and the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. It is further

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **28** day of **September, 2016**.



---

Ron Clark, United States District Judge